

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant :	Scholz et al	Art Unit :	2121
Serial No. :	10/698,956	Examiner :	Darrin D. Dunn
Filed :	October 31, 2003	Conf. No. :	8804
Title :	BLOCKING INPUT WITH DELAYED MESSAGE		

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

On October 22, 2009, Applicant's representative contacted the Examiner regarding the office action dated October 16, 2009. Particularly, Applicant pointed out that the office action did not analyze the declaration under Rule 1.132 that Applicant filed on August 6, 2009 together with a request for continued examination. Applicant noted that the declaration directly addressed a rejection that had been made in the previous (final) office action, which rejection was essentially repeated in the new office action, and that the Examiner therefore should have addressed the declaration when the same rejection was made again. The Examiner promised to issue a supplemental office action that addresses the points made in the declaration.

No fee is believed due in connection with this submission. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: November 30, 2009 _____

/j richard soderberg reg. no. 43,352/ _____
J. Richard Soderberg
Reg. No. 43,352

Fish & Richardson P.C.
3200 RBC Plaza
60 South Sixth Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Telephone: (612) 335-5070
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945
60609799.doc

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EFS-WEB FILING

I hereby certify that this paper was filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office using the EFS-WEB system in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.6(a)(4), on November 30, 2009.
/Leslie Smith/